World Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences ISSN (Print): 2321-3310; ISSN (Online): 2321-3086 Published by Atom and Cell Publishers © All Rights Reserved Available online at: http://www.wjpsonline.org/ Original Article



# A stability indicating **RP-HPLC** method for the simultaneous estimation of metronidazole, clindamycin and clotrimazole in bulk and their combined dosage form

Rajendar L\*, Naga Raju Potnuri and Narsimha Rao R

Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, Joginpally B.R. Pharmacy College, Yenkapally, Moinabad, R.R. Dist, A.P, India

#### Received: 08-12-2014 / Revised: 21-12-2014 / Accepted: 28-12-2014

## ABSTRACT

A simple, specific, and precise stability indicating reverse phase high performance liquid chromatography method was developed and validated as per the ICH guidelines for the simultaneous determination of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole in bulk and combined dosage forms. The quantification was carried out by using Hypersil BDS C18 (4.6\*250mm,  $5\mu$ m) column at 30° c with Phosphate Buffer pH 4.5: Methanol: Acetonitrile in the ratio of 30:20:50 % V/V as mobile phase, pH 4.5 adjusted by using 0.1M ortho phosphoric acid. The flow rate is 1 mL/min and the estimation is carried out by using PDA detector at 210 nm. The retention time of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole were 1.636, 2.289 and 4.928 minutes respectively. The linearity was observed from 25-150µg/mL with correlation coefficient 0.999 for Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole. The LOD and LOQ of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole. The LOD and 1.28 & 5.25µg/mL respectively and the statistics data for the MNZ, CDM and CTM were concluded that the method was found to be simple, reliable, selective, reproducible and accurate. The method was successfully used for quality control analysis of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole.

Keywords: Metronidazole (MNZ), Clindamycin (CDM) and Clotrimazole (CTM), RP-HPLC, Stability and Validation.

## INTRODUCTION

Metronidazole is used as an anti-protozoal and it is 2-methyl-5-nitroimidazole-1-ethanol [1]. It is widely used for antibacterial activity against gramnegative aerobes and gram-positive bacteria, including bacteriode fragilis that produces  $\beta$ lactamases [2] and also used in the treatment of amoebiasis, trichomoniasis, lambliasis, and anaerobic infections [3, 4]. It is one of the most promising agents in combination with antimicrobial agents used in the eradication of helicobacter pylori, a recognized cause of gastritis and duodenal ulcers [5, 6, 7]. Clindamycin is methyl 7-chloro-6,7,8-trideoxy-6-{[(4*R*)-1-methyl-4-propyl-L-

prolyl]amino}-1-thio-L-threo-α-D-galacto-

octopyranoside [8, 9] and it is a semi synthetic derivative of lincomycin. It is active against grampositive aerobes and highly active against both gram-positive and negative anaerobes [10]. Clindamycin inhibits bacterial protein synthesis at the level of the bacterial ribosome. Clotrimazole is an antifungal agent and it is used for primarily in the treatment of superficial fungal infections [11]. Chemically it is known as 1-[(2-chlorophenyl) di phenyl methyl]-1H-imidazole [12, 13]. Clotrimazole is used to treat skin infections such as athlete's foot, jock itch, ringworm, and other fungal skin infections like lightening or darkening of the skin of the neck, chest, arms, or legs. This medication is also used to treat a skin condition known as pityriasis (tinea versicolor) and Small amounts this drug also used to treat yeast infections in pregnant women.

Few analytical methods were reported in the literature, such as determination of Metronidazole, Clindamycin, and Clotrimazole present in individual or in combination with other drugs. However literature survey reveals that, there is no method for the simultaneous estimation of Metronidazole, Clindamycin, and Clotrimazole in bulk and their combined dosage form by reversed phase-HPLC. Chemical structure of Metronidazole,

\*Corresponding Author Address: Mr. Naga Raju Potnuri, Associate Professor, Joginpally B.R. Pharmacy College, Yenkapally (V), Moinabad (M), R.R. (Dist.), A.P, India; E-mail: nagaraju\_potnuri@yahoo.co.in Clindamycin and Clotrimazole are shown in Figure No. 1, 2 & 3 respectively.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Materials:** Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole pure drugs were obtained as a gift sample from Dewcare Concept (P) Ltd, Gujarat, India. HPLC grade Acetonitrile, Methanol and water [filtered through  $0.2\mu$  filters] were purchased from Merck, Mumbai, India. Potassium dihydrogen phosphate and ortho phosphoric acid were purchased from Rankem, RFCL limited, New Delhi, India.

#### **Preparation of Solutions**

**Stock and Standard solution:** The stock solution prepared from pure drugs of 50mg of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole were taken in 50mL volumetric flask and dissolved in 10mL of HPLC grade methanol, and diluted up to the mark with diluent.

The standard solution prepared from 10mL of stock solution was taken in 100mL volumetric flask and diluted up to the mark with diluent to get a concentration of  $100\mu g/mL$  of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole.

**Phosphate Buffer pH 4.5:** Dissolve 6.8g of potassium di-hydrogen phosphate in 1000mL of HPLC grade water (filtered through  $0.2\mu$  filters) and degassed. Adjust the pH to 4.5 by 0.1M ortho phosphoric acid.

**Sample solution:** 20 tablets (Shekit-V) of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole were powdered and an amount of the powder equivalent to 50mg of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole was accurately weighed and transferred to the 50mL volumetric flask, made up to the volume with Diluent. The solution was placed in an ultrasonicator for 30 minutes and filtered through a 25 mm, 0.45  $\mu$ m nylon syringe filter. 10mL of this solution was taken and diluted to 100mL by using a diluent to get a final concentration of 100 $\mu$ g/mL. Five replicate sample solutions were prepared in similar manner.

## **HPLC Instrumentation and Conditions**

**Instrumentation:** Waters HPLC system consisting of WATERS 2695 separation module, an inbuilt auto sampler, column oven and WATERS 2996 (PDA) detector was employed throughout the analysis. Chromatography was performed on a Hypersil BDS C18 column. A sonerex sonicator was used for sonication and the data was acquired by using the EM Power<sup>2</sup> software. Optimized chromatographic conditions: Chromatography was performed on a Hypersil BDS C18 column using mobile phase containing mixture of Phosphate Buffer pH 4.5: Methanol: Acetonitrile in the ratio of 30:20:50 % V/V. The mobile phase was filtered through membrane filter (0.45 µm), and vacuum degassed by sonication prior to use. The pump pressure and run time was maintained at 1500-2000 psi and 10 minutes respectively. Chromatography was performed at 30°C with flow rate at 1 mL/min and detection was carried out at 210 nm. Instrumentation and optimized chromatographic conditions for proposed method details are shown in Table No 1.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Validation study of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole: The Method validation was performed as per ICH guidelines for the simultaneous estimation of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole in bulk and combined dosage form. The method was validated with respect to parameters including accuracy, precision, linearity, robustness, specificity, system suitability, LOD and LOQ [14].

Assay of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole: The developed method was applied to the assay of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole in combined dosage forms. The drug content was estimated with an average of six determinations, and results were given in Table No 2. The results were similar to the labeled claim of market formulations. The standard and sample chromatograms of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole were shown in Figure No 3 and 4 respectively.

**Specificity:** The specificity of the proposed method was established by injecting the placebo and mobile phase solution in triplicate and the chromatograms were recorded. Comparison of chromatograms revealed that there were no interactions between the placebo and sample peaks. Finally, it was indicated that the method was specific.

Accuracy: The accuracy was determined by calculating the recovery of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole at 50, 100, & 150% and they were added to pre quantified sample solution. The recovery studies were carried out in the dosage form in triplicate each in the presence of placebo. The mean percentage recovery of MNZ, CDM and CTM at each level was not less than 99%, and not more than 102%. The percentage recovery of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole was found to be in the range of 99 to

101%. The results are shown in the Table No 3, 4 and 5.

**Precision:** Precision should be investigated by using authentic and homogeneous samples. The Precision of this method was expressed as S.D and %RSD of series of repeated measurements. Precision of MNZ, CDM and CTM determination by proposed method were ascertained by repeated analysis of homogeneous samples of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole standard solutions in the intraday under the similar conditions. The method precision result was shown in Table No 6.

Linearity: Linearity of the proposed method was established by using series of standard solutions of Metronidazole, Clindamycin & Clotrimazole, and these studies are repeated in triplicate with different stock solutions. The curve obtained hv concentration on x-axis and peak area on y-axis against showed linearity in the concentration range of 25 to 150µg/mL for MNZ, CDM and CTM and its correlation coefficient is 0.999 and linearity graph is shown in Graph No 1. The regression equation of MNZ, CDM and CTM were found to be Y = 12285x + 7337 & Y = 3723x - 2718 and Y = 72477x + 37486 respectively. The Linearity and statistical analysis of data are shown in Table No 7, 8 and 9.

Robustness: The robustness was evaluated by the analysis of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole under different experimental conditions such slight changes as in chromatographic conditions like change of flow rate ( $\pm 0.2$  mL/min), temperature ( $\pm 5^{\circ}$ C), and mobile phase composition  $(\pm 5\%)$ . It was distinguished that there were no changes in the chromatograms, and the parameters were within the limits, which indicates that the method was robust and suitable for routine use. The complete results are shown in Table No 10, 11 & 12 and the method is having good system suitability.

**Limit of Detection:** The limit of detection (LOD) has established the minimum concentration at which the analyte can be reliably detected. LOD is determined by the signal to noise ratio and generally acceptable detection limit ratio is 3:1. It was found for Metronidazole, Clindamycin & Clotrimazole is 1.77, 2.55 and 1.28  $\mu$ g/mL respectively.

**Limit of Quantification:** The limit of quantification (LOQ) has established the minimum concentration at which the analyte can be reliably quantified. LOQ is determined by the signal to noise ratio and a typical signal to noise ratio is 10:1

which is acceptable for estimating the quantification limit. It was found to be 5.35, 7.77 and 5.25  $\mu$ g/mL for Metronidazole, Clindamycin & Clotrimazole respectively.

**System suitability:** This test was conducted on freshly prepared Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole standard solution and was used for the evaluation of the system suitability parameters such as retention time, area, USP tailing and theoretical plates, limit of detection and limit of quantification. Five replicate injections for a system suitability test were injected into the chromatographic system. Finally the proposed method is having good system suitability and its parameters are shown in Table No 13.

#### FORCED DEGRADATION STUDY

Forced degradation studies were conducted to evaluate the stability and specificity of the method. The acceptable limit for consideration in the present study is between 5 to 20% for chromatographic assays [15, 16]. The specificity of the developed method was evaluated by using different ICH prescribed stress conditions like acidic, basic, oxidative, and thermal. Acidic degradation studies are performed by taking 10 mL of stock solution in 50 mL volumetric flask. 10 mL of 5N HCL was added to the stock solution and these solutions were kept at reflux for 4 hours. Finally this solution was neutralized with 5 N NaOH. Alkali degradation studies are performed by taking 10 mL of stock solution in 50 mL volumetric flask. 10 mL of 5 N NaOH was added to the stock solution and these solutions were kept at reflux for 4 hours. Finally this solution was neutralized with 5N HCL. Oxidative degradation studies are performed by taking 10 mL of stock solution in 50 mL volumetric flask and 10 mL of 3% hydrogen peroxide added to the flask. These mixtures were kept for up to 3 days in the dark. Thermal degradation studies are performed by taking 10 mL of stock solution in 50 mL volumetric flask and then sample solution were heated to 80°c for 15-60 minutes. Photo degradation studies are performed by taking 10 mL stock solution in 50 mL volumetric flask and this solution exposing to the ultraviolent light by keeping this flask in UV chamber for 7days or 200 Watt hours/m<sup>2</sup> in photo stability chamber.

Neutral degradation studies are performed by taking 10 mL stock solution in 50 mL volumetric flask and this solution refluxing the drug in water for 6 hours at 60°C. Finally forced degradation studies of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole concluded that purity of angle less than purity of threshold and forced degradation

chromatogram were shown in Figure No 6 to 11. All the Degradation summary results were shown in Table No: 14

## CONCLUSION

A stability indicating RP-HPLC method for simultaneous estimation of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole in bulk and pharmaceutical dosage forms is established. The method is simple, accurate, linear, sensitive and reproducible as well as economical for the effective quantitative analysis of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole in bulk and combined dosage forms. The method was validated, and all the method validation parameters were tested and shown to produce satisfactory results. The method is free from interactions of the other ingredients and excipients used in the formulations. Finally, concluded that the method is suitable for use in the routine quality control analysis of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole in active pharmaceutical ingredients and in pharmaceutical dosage forms.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the management of Dewcare Concept (P) Ltd, Gujarat, India for the gift sample of drugs used in this investigation.

 Table No 1: Instrumentation and Optimized chromatographic conditions for proposed method

| S. No   | Instrumentation          | Optimized Chromatographic Conditions                       |
|---------|--------------------------|--|
| 1       | HPLC                     | Waters: 2695 Separation Module                             |
| 2       | Detector                 | Waters: 2996 PDA   |
| 3       | Column                   | Hypersil BDS C <sub>18</sub> (4.6*250mm, 5µm)              |
| 4       | Column temperature       | 30 <sup>0</sup> C  |
| 5       | Flow rate                | 1 mL/min   |
| 6       | Injection volume         | 10µL   |
| 7       | Wavelength               | 210 nm   |
| 8       | Run time                 | 10 minutes   |
| 9       | Diluont                  | First drug dissolved in methanol and then made up with     |
| Diluent |                          | Buffer and Methanol (50:50)                                |
| 10      | Mobile phase composition | Phosphate Buffer: Methanol: ACN in ratio of 30:20:50 % V/V |

#### Table No 2: Assay results of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole formulations

| S. No | Formulation |               | Labeled    | Amount        | %Assay ±RSD |
|-------|-------------|---------------|------------|---------------|-------------|
|       |             |               | Amount(mg) | Found(mg)±S.D |             |
| 1     |             | Metronidazole | 100        | 99.97±0.141   | 0154        |
| 2     | Shekit-V    | Clindamycin   | 100        | 99.94±0.127   | 0.132       |
| 3     |             | Clotrimazole  | 100        | 99.98±0.104   | 0.112       |

#### Table No 3: Recovery data for the proposed RP-HPLC method for Metronidazole

| S. No | Concentration<br>level<br>(%) | Amount<br>added<br>(μg/mL) | Amount<br>found<br>(μg/mL) | Area<br>obtained | Mean<br>%Recovery ± S.D* | %RSD* |
|-------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------|
|       |                               |                            | 4.97                       | 528051           |                          |       |
| 1     | 50                            | 5                          | 5.02                       | 529345           | 99.667±0.64              | 0.645 |
|       |                               |                            | 4.96                       | 526367           |                          |       |
|       |                               |                            | 10.03                      | 1056118          |                          |       |
| 2     | 100                           | 10                         | 10.01                      | 1061449          | 10.033±0.03              | 0.305 |
|       |                               |                            | 9.97                       | 1053689          |                          |       |
| 2     | 150                           | 15                         | 14.99                      | 1580858          | 00.077.0.22              | 0.225 |
| 3     | 150                           | 15                         | 14.95                      | 1578657          | 99.977±0.33              | 0.335 |
|       |                               |                            | 15.05                      | 1587862          |                          |       |

| S. No | Concentration<br>level | Amount<br>added<br>(ug/mL) | Amount<br>found<br>(ug/mL) | Area<br>obtained | Mean<br>%Recovery ± S.D* | %RSD* |
|-------|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------|
|       |                        | (Pg/)                      | 4.98                       | 155687           |                          |       |
| 1     | 50                     | 5                          | 4.95                       | 156382           | 99.86±1.02               | 1.02  |
|       |                        |                            | 5.05                       | 156952           | _                        |       |
|       |                        |                            | 10.01                      | 311932           |                          |       |
| 2     | 100                    | 10                         | 9.97                       | 315265           | 99.90±0.2                | 0.200 |
|       |                        |                            | 9.99                       | 313683           |                          |       |
|       |                        |                            | 14.97                      | 467338           |                          |       |
| 3     | 150                    | 15                         | 15.02                      | 471819           | 99.466±0.88              | 0.886 |
|       |                        |                            | 14.77                      | 472123           |                          |       |

Nagaraju Potnuri *et al.*, World J Pharm Sci 2015; 3(1): 93-103 Table No 4: Recovery data for the proposed RP-HPLC method for Clindamycin

## Table No 5: Recovery data for the proposed RP-HPLC method for Clotrimazole

| S. No | Concentration<br>level<br>(%) | Amount<br>added<br>(μg/mL) | Amount<br>found<br>(μg/mL) | Area obtained | Mean<br>%Recovery ± S.D* | %RSD* |
|-------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|-------|
|       |                               |                            | 5.02                       | 3861928       |                          |       |
| 1     | 50                            | 5                          | 4.94                       | 3840516       | 100.06±1.13              | 1.136 |
|       |                               |                            | 5.05                       | 3866126       |                          |       |
|       |                               |                            | 9.94                       | 7675605       |                          |       |
| 2     | 100                           | 10                         | 10.08                      | 7653968       | 100.16±0.70              | 0.708 |
|       |                               |                            | 10.03                      | 7725564       |                          |       |
|       |                               |                            | 15.08                      | 11587757      |                          |       |
| 3     | 150                           | 15                         | 15.01                      | 11506666      | 100.17±0.31              | 0.315 |
|       |                               |                            | 14.99                      | 11503537      |                          |       |

**\*S.D & %RSD** is Standard Deviation and percentage of Relative Standard Deviation

## Table No 6: Method Precision results of the proposed RP-HPLC method

| C.N.  | Injections | METRONIDAZOLE |           | CLIND | AMYCIN    | CLOTR | CLOTRIMAZOLE |  |  |
|-------|------------|---------------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|--------------|--|--|
| 5. NO |            | RT            | Peak Area | RT    | Peak Area | RT    | Peak Area    |  |  |
| 1     | 1          | 2.231         | 1060387   | 3.662 | 311277    | 5.085 | 7690249      |  |  |
| 2     | 2          | 2.234         | 1049952   | 3.663 | 312715    | 5.086 | 7672098      |  |  |
| 3     | 3          | 2.236         | 1056703   | 3.668 | 310564    | 5.096 | 7682066      |  |  |
| 4     | 4          | 2.238         | 1065894   | 3.668 | 312459    | 5.097 | 7643794      |  |  |
| 5     | 5          | 2.242         | 1057403   | 3.672 | 315557    | 5.100 | 7722332      |  |  |
| 6     | 6          | 2.245         | 1050708   | 3.680 | 314154    | 5.127 | 7731967      |  |  |
| 7     | MEAN       | 2.237         | 1056842   | 3.668 | 312788    | 5.098 | 7690418      |  |  |
| 8     | SD         | 0.005         | 5998.963  | 0.006 | 1837.181  | 0.015 | 32631.356    |  |  |
| 9     | %RSD       | 0.230         | 0.567     | 0.179 | 0.587     | 0.298 | 0.424        |  |  |

\* RT is Retention Time

 Table No 7: Linearity and Statistical analysis data for Metronidazole

|       |                          |         |                 | Statistical Analysis |             |                            |  |  |
|-------|--------------------------|---------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| S. No | Concentration<br>(µg/mL) | Area    | Average<br>Area | Slope                | Y-Intercept | Correlation<br>Coefficient |  |  |
| 1     | 25                       | 320770  |                 |                      |             |                            |  |  |
| 2     | 50                       | 623918  |                 |                      |             |                            |  |  |
| 3     | 75                       | 933278  | 1083487         | 12285                | 7337        | 0.999                      |  |  |
| 4     | 100                      | 1231009 |                 |                      |             |                            |  |  |
| 5     | 125                      | 1541966 |                 |                      |             |                            |  |  |
| 6     | 150                      | 1849976 | ]               |                      |             |                            |  |  |

|       |                          |        |                 | Statistical Analysis |             |                            |  |
|-------|--------------------------|--------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------------|--|
| S. No | Concentration<br>(µg/mL) | Area   | Average<br>Area | Slope                | Y-Intercept | Correlation<br>Coefficient |  |
| 1     | 25                       | 90163  |                 |                      |             |                            |  |
| 2     | 50                       | 180540 |                 |                      |             |                            |  |
| 3     | 75                       | 275080 | 322663          | 3723                 | 2718        | 0.999                      |  |
| 4     | 100                      | 370938 |                 |                      |             |                            |  |
| 5     | 125                      | 461161 |                 |                      |             |                            |  |
| 6     | 150                      | 558093 |                 |                      |             |                            |  |

## Table No 8: Linearity and Statistical analysis data for Clindamycin

Table No 9: Linearity and Statistical analysis data for Clotrimazole

|       |                          |          |                 | Statistical Analysis |             |                            |  |
|-------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------------|--|
| S. No | Concentration<br>(µg/mL) | Area     | Average<br>Area | Slope                | Y-Intercept | Correlation<br>Coefficient |  |
| 1     | 25                       | 1921943  |                 |                      |             |                            |  |
| 2     | 50                       | 3638642  |                 |                      |             |                            |  |
| 3     | 75                       | 5435830  | 6385509         | 72477                | 37486       | 0.999                      |  |
| 4     | 100                      | 7316584  |                 |                      |             |                            |  |
| 5     | 125                      | 9100014  |                 |                      |             |                            |  |
| 6     | 150                      | 10900039 |                 |                      |             |                            |  |

## Table No 10: Robustness results of the proposed RP-HPLC method for Metronidazole

|       | Parameters                       |                   |          | USP       |       |       |         |
|-------|----------------------------------|-------------------|----------|-----------|-------|-------|---------|
| S. No | Optimized                        |                   | Used     | Peak Area | RT*   | Plate | Tailing |
|       |                                  |                   |          |           |       | Count | Factor  |
|       |                                  |                   | 0.8      | 1168256   | 2.449 | 2549  | 0.93    |
| 1     | Flow rate ( $\pm 0.2$ )          | 1 mL/min          | 1.2      | 1064695   | 2.273 | 2605  | 0.89    |
|       |                                  |                   | 25       | 1168256   | 2.449 | 2549  | 0.93    |
| 2     | Temperature ( $\pm 5^{\circ}c$ ) | 30 <sup>°</sup> c | 35       | 1072359   | 2.273 | 2603  | 0.89    |
|       | Mobile phase                     |                   | 25:30:45 | 988254    | 2.276 | 2471  | 0.92    |
| 3     | composition $(\pm 5\%)$          | 30:20:50          | 35:10:55 | 1075190   | 2.445 | 2275  | 0.93    |

## Table No 11: Robustness results of the proposed RP-HPLC method for Clindamycin

|       | Parameters                   |          |          | USP       |       |       |         |
|-------|------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|-------|-------|---------|
| S. No | Optimized                    |          | Used     | Peak Area | RT*   | Plate | Tailing |
|       |                              |          |          |           |       | Count | Factor  |
|       |                              |          | 0.8      | 334147    | 3.975 | 5010  | 1.39    |
| 1     | Flow rate ( $\pm 0.2$ )      | 1 mL/min | 1.2      | 307212    | 3.678 | 4723  | 1.38    |
|       |                              |          | 25       | 337974    | 3.975 | 4979  | 1.42    |
| 2     | Temperature ( $\pm 5^{0}c$ ) | 30°c     | 35       | 310739    | 3.678 | 4698  | 1.40    |
|       | Mobile phase                 |          | 25:30:45 | 245773    | 3.689 | 4890  | 1.38    |
| 3     | composition $(\pm 5\%)$      | 30:20:50 | 35:10:55 | 267727    | 3.906 | 5036  | 1.39    |

## Table No 12: Robustness results of the proposed RP-HPLC method for Clotrimazole

|       | Parameters                       |                   |              | USP     |                |                   |      |
|-------|----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------|----------------|-------------------|------|
| S. No | Optimized                        | Used              | Peak<br>Area | RT*     | Plate<br>Count | Tailing<br>Factor |      |
|       |                                  |                   | 0.8          | 8421299 | 5.510          | 4990              | 1.03 |
| 1     | Flow rate ( $\pm 0.2$ )          | 1 mL/min          | 1.2          | 7675106 | 5.099          | 4958              | 1.07 |
|       |                                  |                   | 25           | 8433990 | 5.510          | 4988              | 1.03 |
| 2     | Temperature ( $\pm 5^{\circ}c$ ) | 30 <sup>0</sup> с | 35           | 7690710 | 5.099          | 4954              | 1.07 |
|       | Mobile phase                     |                   | 25:30:45     | 6190578 | 5.114          | 6601              | 1.14 |
| 3     | composition ( $\pm$ 5%)          | 30:20:50          | 35:10:55     | 6837097 | 5.318          | 6477              | 1.13 |

\* **RT** is Retention Time

| Table No 15: System Suitability Parameters of the proposed KP-HPLC method |                   |                  |                    |  |  |
|---|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Parameters  | METRONIDAZOLE     | CLINDAMYCIN      | CLOTRIMAZOLE       |  |  |
| Linearity range(µg/mL)  | 25-150            | 25-150           | 25-150             |  |  |
| Regression equation   | Y = 12285x + 7337 | Y = 3723x - 2718 | Y = 72477x + 37486 |  |  |
| Correlation coefficient(r <sup>2</sup> )                                  | 0.999             | 0.999            | 0.999              |  |  |
| Retention time (minutes)  | 1.636             | 2.289            | 4.928              |  |  |
| Theoretical plates  | 3605              | 5178             | 7880               |  |  |
| Tailing factor  | 0.95              | 1.40             | 0.93               |  |  |
| Limit of Detection (µg/mL)  | 1.77              | 2.55             | 1.28               |  |  |
| Limit of Quantitation (µg/mL)   | 5.35              | 7.77             | 5.25               |  |  |
| Capacity factor (k)   | 1.045             | 1.018            | 1.025              |  |  |
| Wavelength-Isosbestic point   | 210               |                  |                    |  |  |

|  | Table No 13: S | vstem Suitability | Parameters of the | proposed RP-HPLC | method |
|--|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|
|--|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|

# Table No 14: Forced Degradation results of proposed RP-HPLC method

| Degradation conditions | METRONIDAZOLE |           | CLINDAMYCIN |           | CLOTRIMAZOLE |           |  |
|------------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--|
| Degradation conditions | Purity of     |           | Purity of   | Purity of |              | Purity of |  |
|                        | Angle         | Threshold | Angle       | Threshold | Angle        | Threshold |  |
| Control sample         |               |           |             |           |              |           |  |
| Acidic Degradation     | 0.222         | 0.292     | 0.636       | 1.442     | 0.157        | 0.627     |  |
| Alkali Degradation     | 0.133         | 0.282     | 0.257       | 0.434     | 0.111        | 0.659     |  |
| Oxidative Degradation  | 0.133         | 0.282     | 0.257       | 0.434     | 0.111        | 0.659     |  |
| Thermal Degradation    | 0.149         | 0.288     | 0.178       | 0.357     | 0.124        | 0.883     |  |
| Photo Degradation      | 0.883         | 0.283     | 0.239       | 0.430     | 0.121        | 0.694     |  |
| Neutral Degradation    | 0.145         | 0.284     | 0.245       | 0.245     | 0.152        | 0.731     |  |



Fig No 1: Metronidazole









|   | Peak Name     | RT    | Area     | % Area | USP Plate Count | USP Tailing |
|---|---------------|-------|----------|--------|-----------------|-------------|
| 1 | Metronidazole | 1.636 | 1370049  | 11.44  | 3605            | 0.95        |
| 2 | Clindamycin   | 2.289 | 440189   | 3.68   | 5178            | 1.40        |
| 3 | Clotrimazole  | 4.928 | 10166134 | 84.88  | 7880            | 0.93        |

Fig No 4: RP-HPLC Chromatogram of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole



Fig No 5: RP-HPLC Chromatogram of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole formulation



Fig No 6: Chromatogram of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole for Acidic Degradation





Fig No 7: Chromatogram of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole for Alkali Degradation



Fig No 8: Chromatogram of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole for Oxidative Degradation



Fig No 9: Chromatogram of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole for Thermal Degradation









Fig No 11: Chromatogram of Metronidazole, Clindamycin and Clotrimazole for Neutral Degradation



Graph No 1:Linearity Graph of Metronidazole, Clindamycin & Clotrimazole

#### REFERENCES

- Sean C. Sweetman., Martindale: The complete drug reference, 37th Ed, Pharmaceutical Press, London, 2011, 924. 1.
- Lamp KC et al. Lacy M.K.Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of the nitroimidazole antimicrobials. Clin Pharmacokinet 1999; 2 36(5): 353-373.
- 3. A. Menelau et al. Simultaneous quantification of amoxicillin and metronidazole in plasma using high-performance liquid chromatography with photodiode array detection. J. Chromatogr. 1999; B 731: 261-266.
- C. Hoffmann et al. Comparative bioavailability of metronidazole formulations (Vagimid) after oral and vaginal administration. Int. J. 4 Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. 1995; 33: 232-239.
- A. Menelau et al. Simultaneous quantification of amoxicillin and metronidazole in plasma using high-performance liquid 5. chromatography with photodiode array detection. J. Chromatogr.1999; B 731: 261-266.
- P.T. Pollak. A liquid chromatography assay for the study of serum and gastric juice metronidazole concentrations in the treatment of 6. Helicobacter pylori. Ther. Drug Monitoring.1996; 18: 678-687.
- J.I.D. Wibawa et al. Quantification of metronidazole in small volume biological samples using narrow bore high-performance liquid 7. chromatography. J. Chromatogr. 2001; B 761: 213-219. Sean C. Sweetman., Martindale: The complete drug reference, 37<sup>th</sup> Ed, Pharmaceutical Press, London, 2011, 272.
- 8
- Merck & co. Inc., The Merck Index, an Encyclopedia of Chemicals, Drugs and Biologicals, 14th Ed., white house station, New Jersey, 9. 2006, 2356.
- 10 A. Forist et al. Clindamycin Bioavailability from Clindamycin-2-Palmitate and Clindamycin-2-Hexadecylcarbonate in Man. Journal of Pharmacokinetics and Biopharmaceutics. 1973; 1(2), 89-98.
- Seth SD, Seth V. Text book of pharmacology, 3rd Ed. India: Elsevier; 2009; X 91-98. 11.
- Sean C. Sweetman., Martindale: The complete drug reference, 37th Ed, Pharmaceutical Press, London, 2011, 317. 12
- Merck & co. Inc., The Merck Index, an Encyclopedia of Chemicals, Drugs and Biologicals, 14th Ed., white house station, New Jersey, 13. 2006, 941.
- 14. ICH Q2 (R1), Validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and Methodology. 2005.
- Hildegard Brummer. How to approach a forced degradation study, life science technical bulletin, 2011; 31: 1-4.
   George Ngwa., Forced Degradation as an Integral Part of HPLC stability indicating method development, Drug delivery technology, 2010; 10(5): 56-59.